

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
AD025364	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; OCT 1953. Other requests shall be referred to Material Lab., New York Naval Shipyard, Brooklyn.	
AUTHORITY	
BUAER ltr, 15 Mar 1977; BUAER ltr, 15 Mar 1977	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

NYNS 5046-3 2541

ID NO. 25364

AFSEP 392

AFSEP 392



PROPERTY OF R.D.
TECHNICAL LIBRARY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Pt. 47
Final Report
NS 081-001

AW-7

MATERIAL LABORATORY
NEW YORK NAVAL SHIPYARD
BROOKLYN 1, N. Y.

TECHNICAL REPORT

3ND-NYNS-900-P-1B



3ND-P&PO-2490

54AA-9885

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES
of
FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES

Submitted by
THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS
Dept. of the Navy

L. Banet
J. Bracciaventi

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report
NS 081-001
Technical Objective AW-7
AFSWP-392

27 October 1953

Optics and Nucleonics Branch
J. M. McGREEVY, Head

Superintending Engineer
G. J. DASHEFSKY

The Director
CAPT. H. T. KOONCE, USN

MATERIAL LABORATORY
New York Naval Shipyard
Brooklyn 1, New York

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47

Final Report

CONTENTS

	Page
AUTHORITY	4
INTRODUCTION	4
EQUIPMENT AND METHODS EMPLOYED	4
RESULTS	5
CONCLUSIONS	6
BIBLIOGRAPHY	8

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report

ABSTRACT

For the purpose of evaluating the resistance of flight clothing assemblies to the thermal radiation of atomic explosions, the critical thermal energies of seven assemblies submitted by the Bureau of Aeronautics, Department of the Navy, were determined by exposing the assemblies to the Material Laboratory carbon-arc source of thermal radiation and examining the consequent damage.

The carbon-arc source furnishes a maximum irradiance of $85 \text{ cal/cm}^2/\text{sec}$ in the central area of the specimens if no absorbing screens were employed. However, for a better approximation of the laboratory exposure time to that obtained in the field, absorbing screens were employed to furnish exposures of 0.3 to 0.6 seconds. Methods of exposure and evaluation of effective damage are indicated. The nylon fabric submitted was consumed at 3.5 cal/cm^2 , whereas the cotton twill was destroyed at 12 cal/cm^2 . The thermal resistance of the assemblies with a nylon face and wool backing was substantially the same; their first layers were destroyed at 10 and 11 cal/cm^2 , their second at 43 and 44 cal/cm^2 respectively. The goatskin leather submitted charred and shrank at about 3 cal/cm^2 and sustained flames after exposures of 15 cal/cm^2 or more. The Vapotex coated cotton twill of Mk-4 exposure suits is more resistant over Mk-4 liner than over wool flannel (55-S-40, Aer).

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report

Ref: (a) COMNYKNAVSHIPYD conf ltr S99/L5, Ser 960-92 of 14 Mar 1950
(b) BUSHIPS restr spdltr S99(0)(348), Ser 348-75 of 6 Apr 1950
(c) BUAER ltr Aer-Ae-541, J2-7 of 2 Apr 1953

Encl: (1) Critical Thermal Energies of Flight Clothing Assemblies
(2) Spectral Reflectance and Transmittance of Flight Clothing Assemblies

AUTHORITY

1. This investigation is part of the program proposed by reference (a) and formally approved by reference (b). The investigation of the flight clothing assemblies was requested under reference (c). The general Thermal Radiation program is under the supervision of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project.

INTRODUCTION

2. As part of its general program on the effects of the thermal radiation of atomic explosions on materials, the Material Laboratory is evaluating the characteristics, under exposure to thermal radiation, of various materials of particular interest to the several agencies of the Department of Defense. As data become available, their findings are reported and published.

3. Under reference (c), the Bureau of Aeronautics requested measurements of the critical energies and reflectances of seven flight clothing assemblies. The results of this study are indicated below.

EQUIPMENT AND METHODS EMPLOYED

4. The critical thermal energies of the clothing assemblies were determined, employing the Material Laboratory carbon-arc source of thermal radiation. The source consists of an 11 mm carbon arc mounted at the focus of a parabolic reflector which collimates the emitted energy; a second mirror which is mounted coaxially at a distance of 12 feet from the collimator,

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report

condenses the radiation to the mirror's focus. Gradations of thermal damage are obtained by varying the effective exposure time through accelerating a 1 x 8-inch specimen transversely through the focus and using attenuating screens. Without the screens, the rate of application of energy is 85 cal/cm²sec over a central area 2 mm wide. The exposure of the cloth assemblies was made by fastening the cloths to glass melamine blocks provided with cut-outs in the central area to furnish an air background. Exposure times between 0.3 and 0.6 seconds were employed for radiant exposures up to 53 cal/cm² for those assemblies which were not destroyed at these exposures. Exposures for 0.6 to 1.2 seconds were also used, whenever a sufficient supply of material was available.

5. The spectral reflectances and transmittances of the cloths, which were requested under reference (c), were determined, using the General Electric Recording Spectrophotometer.

RESULTS

6. The critical thermal energies of the cloth fabrics, submitted by the Bureau of Aeronautics, were defined as those which produce certain characteristic, reproducible effects on the materials, such as destruction, charring or melting. The critical energies are shown on Enclosure (1).

7. It may be noted that the laboratory exposures are made under highly controlled conditions and, as a rule, give results which can be reproduced very well. However, for several reasons the data of Enclosure (1) should be used with caution. The effects to be observed on material surfaces remain unchanged over a considerable range of exposures. Since the surface effects are not sufficiently gradated for refined evaluation, only the initial stages have been recorded. The effects are influenced by such factors as mounting, geometry of material and of exposure, weathering and the moisture

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report

content at the time of exposure. Differences in density, absorptivity, chemical composition, weave or surface structure are responsible for the variations in effects which may be observed from area to area on the same material. Liquids and gases form during exposure to thermal radiation, even in a period of less than one second, thereby affecting the amount of thermal radiation incident on and absorbed by the surface. In addition to the specific critical energies listed in Enclosure (1), it was observed that of the two assemblies employing the Vapotex coated cotton twill (Mk-4 exposure suit, #5 and #6), the second assembly, employing a padded liner, was more resistant, although there was evidence of charring of the padding fibers slightly above the critical energy of 16 cal/cm², at which the outer layer was destroyed. The first assembly using wool flannel as underlayer, was not completely destroyed at 53 cal/cm², but the flannel was so weakened and thinned that it is believed to be close to complete destruction. Due to the shortage of material, the exact critical energy corresponding to destruction of this material could not be determined.

8. The spectral reflectance and transmittance data of the outer cloths are given in Enclosure (2).

CONCLUSIONS

9. The following conclusions may be drawn from the results of this investigation

- a. Of the two assemblies which consisted of single layers, the cotton twill (Mil-C-5039) was considerably more resistant than the nylon oxford (Mil-C-508a), the cotton was consumed at 12 cal/cm² and the nylon at 3.5 cal/cm².
- b. There were only minor differences in the critical energies of the two clothing assemblies utilizing a nylon face and wool backing. Their first layers were destroyed at 9 to 10 cal/cm² and their second at 43 to 44 cal/cm².

CONFIDENTIAL

SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046 3, Part 47

Final Report

- c. The goatskin leather chars and shrinks at about 3 cal/cm². Although the material twists out of focus and is difficult to evaluate at higher exposures, sustained flaming was noted after exposures of 15 cal/cm².
- d. Of the two assemblies employing Vapotex coated cotton twill (Mk-4 exposure suit) cloths, the second assembly, including a padded liner is more resistant, since its outer layer is destroyed at 16 cal/cm² while the corresponding layer of the first assembly suffers destruction at 12 cal/cm².

Approved:


H. T. KOONCE, CAPTAIN, USN
The Director

bas

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 47
Final Report

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard.
Energies of Clothing Materials Submitted by the U.
Report No. 5046-3, Part 3 (July, 1951).
2. Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard.
Intensity Distribution at the Focus of a Parabolic
Density on a Moving Surface Using a Tungsten Lamp
5046; Part 5 (July, 1949).
3. Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard.
Thermal Effects on Specimens Exposed at Bikini.
(March, 1950).
4. Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard
Measuring High Intensities at the Focus of a Par
Large Aperture. Report No. 5046, Part 3 (Novemb

CONFIDENTIAL
Material Laboratory

Lab Project 5046 3, Part 47
Final Report
Enclosure (2)

REFLECTANCE AND TRANSMITTANCE
OF FLIGHT CLOTHING
SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS

Material No.	#1		#2		#3		#4		#5, #6		#7	
Type of Material	Nylon Oxford (Mil-C-508a)		Cotton Twill (Mil-C-5039)		Nylon Face Wool back (Mil-5603) wool to wool		Nylon Face Wool back (Mil-5603) over rayon face		Vaportex coated cotton Twill		Goatskin leather over rayon lin-	
Wavelength (Microns)	R*	T*	R	T	R	T	R	T	R	T	R	T
0.400	0.064	0.030	0.152	0.004	0.032	0	0.032	0	0.109	0	0.045	0
0.420	0.063	0.033	0.148	0.004	0.032	0	0.032	0	0.124	0	0.045	0
0.440	0.058	0.032	0.142	0.005	0.033	0	0.033	0	0.129	0	0.044	0
0.460	0.051	0.028	0.149	0.005	0.037	0	0.037	0	0.139	0	0.045	0
0.480	0.052	0.029	0.167	0.006	0.038	0	0.038	0	0.146	0	0.045	0
0.500	0.055	0.035	0.189	0.010	0.043	0	0.043	0	0.143	0	0.046	0
0.520	0.072	0.055	0.210	0.032	0.050	0	0.050	0	0.148	0	0.048	0
0.540	0.077	0.061	0.226	0.015	0.058	0	0.058	0	0.159	0	0.048	0
0.560	0.096	0.090	0.240	0.017	0.063	0	0.063	0	0.157	0	0.052	0
0.580	0.092	0.079	0.251	0.020	0.063	0	0.063	0	0.149	0	0.058	0
0.600	0.075	0.060	0.269	0.025	0.053	0	0.053	0	0.140	0	0.058	0
0.620	0.072	0.060	0.284	0.030	0.062	0	0.062	0	0.135	0	0.058	0
0.640	0.068	0.051	0.287	0.030	0.053	0	0.053	0	0.131	0	0.058	0
0.660	0.073	0.061	0.284	0.030	0.061	0	0.061	0	0.131	0	0.058	0
0.680	0.121	0.134	0.281	0.030	0.140	0	0.140	0	0.132	0	0.060	0
0.700	0.203	0.236	0.281	0.029	0.281	0.006	0.281	0.002	0.136	0	0.063	0
0.720	0.262	0.298	0.285	0.030	0.442	0.024	0.442	0.006	0.144	0	0.068	0
0.740	0.292	0.330	0.292	0.032	0.558	0.048	0.558	0.010	0.152	0	0.077	0
0.750	0.297	0.340	0.293	0.035	0.602	0.054	0.597	0.010	0.158	0	0.082	0
0.800	0.347	0.392	0.324	0.048	0.680	0.080	0.678	0.040	0.195	0	0.092	0
0.850	0.392	0.440	0.372	0.062	0.702	0.088	0.706	0.055	0.236	0	0.098	0
0.900	0.410	0.455	0.432	0.091	0.716	0.092	0.726	0.063	0.290	0	0.102	0
0.950	0.416	0.462	0.501	0.130	0.722	0.097	0.736	0.070	0.357	0	0.108	0
1.000	0.416	0.470	0.554	0.165	0.726	0.100	0.742	0.078	0.416	0	0.115	0

R* - Reflectance

T* - Transmittance

CONFIDENTIAL

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100
Thermal Radiation Reports
(Research)

20 May 1953

<u>ADDRESSEE</u>	<u>ARMY</u>	<u>No. of Cys</u>
Asst Chief of Staff, G-2, D/A, Washington 25, D. C.		1
Asst Chief of Staff, G-3, D/A, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: DSC, G-3(RR&SW)		1
Asst Chief of Staff, G-4, D/A, Washington 25, D. C.		1
Chief of Ordnance, D/A, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: ORDTX-AR		1
Chief Signal Officer, D/A, P&O Div, Washington 25, DC, ATTN: SIGOP		3
The Surgeon General, D/A, Washington 25, DC, ATTN: Chairman, Med R&D Bd		3
Chief Chemical Officer, D/A, Washington 25, D.C.		2
Chief of Engineers, D/A, Military Construction Div, Protective Construction Br, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: ENGEB		1
Chief of Engineers, D/A, Civil Works Div, Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: Engineering Div, Structural Br		1
The Quartermaster General, CBR, Liaison Office, R&D Div, D/A, Washington 25, D. C.		2
Chief of Transportation, Mil Planning & Intelligence Division, Bldg T-7, Washington 25, D. C.		1
Chief, Army Field Forces, Fort Monroe, Virginia		4
Army Field Forces Board #1, Ft. Bragg, North Carolina		1
Army Field Forces Board #4, Ft. Bliss, Texas		1
Commanding General, First Army, Governor's Island, New York 4, N.Y., ATTN: G-1		1
G-2		1
G-3		1
G-4		1
Commanding General, Second Army, Ft. George G. Meade, Md, ATTN: AIABB		1
AIABD		1
Commanding General, Third Army, Ft. McPherson, Ga., ATTN: ACofS, G-3		2

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

Commanding General, Fourth Army, Ft. Sam Houston, Tex, ATTN: G-3	1
Commanding General, Fifth Army, 1660 E. Hyde Park Blvd, Chicago 15 Ill, ATTN: ALFEN	1
ALFOR	1
Commanding General, Sixth Army, Presidio of San Francisco, Calif., ATTN: AMGCT-4	1
Commander-in-Chief, Far East Command, APO 500, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif, ATTN: ACofS, J-3	2
Commanding General, U. S. Army Forces Far East (Main) APO 343, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif, ATTN: ACofS, G-3	3
Commanding General, USAR Alaska, APO 942, c/o PM, Seattle Washington	1
Commanding General, USARCARIB, APO 834 c/o PM, New Orleans: Attention Chemical Officer USARCARIB	1
Commanding General, USARFANT & MDPB, APO 85: c/o PM New York, N.Y.	1
Commanding General, USARPACIFIC, APO 958, c/o PM, San Francisco, Calif. ATTN: Cml Off	2
Commandant, Command & General Staff College, Ft. Leavenworth, Kan, ATTN: ALLIS(AS)	1
Commandant, The Infantry School, Ft. Benning, Ga., ATTN: C.D.S.	2
Commandant, The Artillery School, Ft. Sill, Oklahoma	1
Commandant, The AA&GM Branch, The Artillery School, Ft. Bliss, Texas	1
Commandant, The Armored School, Ft. Knox, Ky, ATTN: Class Doc Sect, Eval & Res Div.	2
Commanding General, Medical Field Service School, Brooke Army Medical Center, Ft. Sam Houston, Texas	1
Surgical Research Unit, Brooke Army Hospital, San Antonio, Texas	1
Commandant, Army Medical Service Graduate School, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington 25, D.C., ATTN: Dept of Biophysics	1
The Superintendent, USMA, West Point, NY, ATTN: Prof of Ordnance	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

Commandant, Chemical Corps School, Cml Corps Trng Command, Ft. McClellan, Alabama	1
Commanding General, Research & Engineering Cmd, Army Cml Ctr, Md., ATTN: Special Project Officer	2
Commanding General, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Md, ATTN: RD Control Off for Director, Ballistics Research & Dev Lab.	2
Commanding General, The Engineer Center, Ft. Belvoir, Va, ATTN: Asst Cmdnt, The Engineer School	3
Commanding Officer, Engineer Research & Dev Lab, Ft. Belvoir, Va., ATTN: Chief, Technical Intelligence Br.	1
Director, Special Weapons Development Office, OCAFF, Ft. Bliss, Texas	1
Chief of Research & Development, D/A, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Commanding Officer, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey, ATTN: ORDBB-TK	1
Commanding Officer, Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia 37, Pa., ATTN: RD Control Officer	1
Commanding Officer, Army Medical Research Laboratory, Ft. Knox, Ky.	1
Commanding Officer, Chemical Corps, Cml & Radiological Lab, Army Cml Ctr, Md, ATTN: Technical Library	2
Commanding Officer, Transportation R&D Station, Ft. Eustis, Va.	1
Chief, QM R&D Lab., Philadelphia QM Depot, 2800 S 20th St. Philadelphia 45, Pa., ATTN: Mr. John Davies Technical Library	1 2
Director, Technical Documents Center, Evans Signal Lab., Belmar, NJ	1
Director, Waterways Experiment Station, PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss, ATTN: Library	1
Director, Operations Research Office, Johns Hopkins University, 6410 Connecticut Avenue, Chevy Chase, Md., ATTN: Library	1

NAVY

Chief of Naval Operations, D/N, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: Op-36	1
---	---

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

Chief of Naval Operations, D/N, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: Op-51	1
Chief of Naval Operations, D/N, Washington 25, DC, ATTN: Op-374(OEG)	1
Chief, Bureau of Medicine & Surgery, D/N, Washington 25, DC, ATTN: Special Weapons Defense Division	2
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance, D/N, Washington 25, D. C.	1
Chief, Bureau of Personnel, D/N, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: Pers C	1
Chief, Bureau of Ships, D/N, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: Code 348	2
Chief, Bureau of Supplies & Accounts, D/N, Washington 25, D. C.	1
Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks, D/N, Washington 25, D. C., ATTN: P-312	1
Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics, D/N, Washington 25, D. C.	2
Chief of Naval Research, Code 219, Rm 1807, Bldg T-3, Washington 25, DC ATTN: RD Control Officer	1
Commander-in-Chief, US Pacific Fleet, Fleet Post Office, San Francisco Calif.	2
Commander-in-Chief, U. S. Atlantic Fleet, U.S. Naval Base, Norfolk 11, Va.	2
Commander, Operational Dev Force, US Atlantic Fleet, USN Base, Norfolk 11, Va, ATTN: Tact Dev Air Dept	1 1
Commandant, US Marine Corps, Washington 25, DC, ATTN: Code A03H	4
President, US Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island	1
Superintendent, US Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Calif.	1
Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Schools, Command, Naval Station, Treasure Island, San Francisco, Calif.	2
Director, USMC Development Center, USMC Schools, Quantico, Va, ATTN: Tactics Board Equipment Board	1 1
Commanding Officer, U.S. Fleet Training Center, Naval Base, Norfolk, 11, Va. ATTN: Special Weapons School	2

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

Commanding Officer, U. S. Fleet Training Center, (SPWP School), Naval Base, San Diego 35, Calif.	2
Commanding Officer, Air Development Squadron 5, U.S. Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, Calif.	1
Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Damage Control Center, Naval Base, Philadelphia 12, Pa., ATTN: ABC Defense Course	1
Commanding Officer, U. S. Naval Unit, Chemical Corps School, Army Chemical Training Center, Ft. McClellan, Ala.	1
Commander, U. S. Naval Ordnance Lab, Silver Springs 19, Md, ATTN: EE	1
Alias	1
Aliex	1
Commander, U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Inyokern, China Lake, Calif.	1
Officer-in-Charge, U. S. Naval Civil Engineering Research & Evaluation Lab., Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme, Calif., ATTN: Code 753	2
Commanding Officer, U. S. Naval Medical Research Institute, Nat'l Naval Medical Center, Bethesda 14, Md.	1
Director, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commanding Officer, David W. Taylor Model Basin, Washington 7, D. C., ATTN: Library	1
Director, The Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard, Brooklyn 1, New York	1
Commanding Officer & Director, USN Electronics Lab., San Diego 52, Calif., ATTN: Code 210	1
Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Lab., San Francisco, Calif., ATTN: Tech Info Div.	3
Director, Office of Naval Research Branch Office, 1000 Geary St., San Francisco, Calif.	2
Commander, U. S. Naval Air Dev Center, Johnsville, Pa.	1
Officer-in-Charge, Naval Clothing Factory, U.S. Naval Supply Activities, New York 3rd Ave & 29th St., Brooklyn 32, NY ATTN: R&D Div	1
Commanding Officer, Naval Medical Field Research Lab., Camp Lejeune N. C.	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

Commanding General, Air Training Command, Scott AFB, Belleville, Ill, ATTN: DCS/O, GTP	2
Commanding General, Air Research & Development Command, PO Box 1395, Baltimore, Md., ATTN: RDDN	3
Commanding General, Air Proving Grounds, Cmd, Eglin AFB, Fla., ATTN: AG/TRB	1
Commanding General, Air University, Maxwell AFB, Ala.	2
Commandant, Air Command, & Staff School, Maxwell AFB, Ala.	2
Commandant, AF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph AFB, Texas	2
Commanding General, Wright Air Dev Ctr, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, ATTN: WCOESP	1
Commanding General, AF Cambridge Research Center, 230 Albany St., Cambridge, Mass, ATTN: Atomic Warfare Directorate CRTSL-2	1 1
Commanding General, AF Special Weapons Center, Kirtland AFB, NM, ATTN: Chief, Technical Library Br	3
Commandant, USAF Institute of Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, ATTN: Resident College	1
Commanding General, Lowry AFB, Denver, Colo., ATTN: Dept of Armament Training	5
Commanding General, 1009th Special Weapons Sq, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, DC.	3
The RAND Corporation, 1700 Main St., Santa Monica, Calif, ATTN: Nuclear Energy Division	2

PANEL ON THERMAL RADIATION

Dr. J. D. Hardy, Dept of Physiology, Cornell University Medical College, 1300 York Avenue, New York 1, New York	1
Prof H. C. Hottel, Mass. Institute of Tech., Cambridge, Mass.	1
Dr. E. O. Hulbert, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Dr. H. E. Pearse, Strong Memorial Hospital, 260 Crittenden Blvd., University of Rochester, Rochester 7, N. Y.	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

AFSWP & AFSWP CONTRACTORS

Director, Tech Operations, Inc., 6 Schouler Court, Arlington 74, Mass. 1

Dr. E. I. Evans, Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, Va. 1

California Forest Experimental Station, US Forest Service, PO Box
245, Berkeley, Calif, ATTN: C. C. Buck, Div Forest Fire Branch 1

Prof. C. C. Williams, Dept of Cml Eng, Mass Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Mass. 1

Mr. H. D. Bruce, Forest Products Lab, No. Walnut St, Madison 5, Wisc. 1

Commanding General, Field Command, AFSWP, PO Box 5100, Albuquerque, NM 6

Chief, AFSWP, PO Box 2610, Washington 13, DC 9

OTHERS

Dr. R. P. Peterson, Director, Applied Physics Div, Sandia Corp.,
Albuquerque, New Mexico 1

Dr. Alvin C. Graves, J-1 Div., Los Alamos Scientific Lab, PO Box 1663,
Los Alamos, New Mexico 1

Dr. Harold Agnew, Director's Office, Los Alamos Scientific Lab.,
P. O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, New Mexico 1

Executive Secretary, JCS, Washington 25, DC 1

Director, Weapons Systems Evaluation Group, OSD, Rm 2E1006,
Pentagon, Washington 25, DC 1

Assistant for Civil Defense, OSD, Washington 25, DC 1

Chairman, Armed Services Explosives Bd, DOD, Rm 2403, Barton Hall,
Washington 25, DC. 1

Chairman, Research & Development Board, DOD, Washington 25, DC,
ATTN: Technical Library 1

Commandant, Armed Forces Staff College, Norfolk 11, Va., ATTN:
Secretary 1

Engineering Research, University of California, PO Box 4063,
Westwood Village Station, Los Angeles 24, Calif. 1

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (Cont'd)

* Director, Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Document Service
Center, U. S. Building, Dayton 2, Ohio 2*

* This agency is not to receive documents or reports containing
RESTRICTED DATA.

<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L. II. Bracciaventi, J. III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L. II. Bracciaventi, J. III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L. II. Bracciaventi, J. III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L. II. Bracciaventi, J. III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL SECURITY INFORMATION</p>

<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L.</p> <p>II. Bracciaventi, J.</p> <p>III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project</p> <p>IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L.</p> <p>II. Bracciaventi, J.</p> <p>III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project</p> <p>IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L.</p> <p>II. Bracciaventi, J.</p> <p>III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project</p> <p>IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>SECURITY INFORMATION</p>
<p>Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval Shipyard. 5046-3, Part 47</p> <p>INVESTIGATION OF CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES OF FLIGHT CLOTHING ASSEMBLIES SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS, NAVY DEPT. by L. Banet and J. Bracciaventi. Final Report. 19 October 1953. 8 p. tables</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>Flight clothing assemblies of the Bureau of Aeronautics were exposed to the laboratory carbon-arc source to determine their resistance to A-bomb radiation. The critical thermal energies obtained indicate greater resistance of cotton than of nylon; low resistance of goat-skin leather; higher resistance of Mk-4 liner than wool flannel under Mk-4 exposure units; little difference between 2 nylon faced wool backings.</p>	<p>1. Textiles - effects of radiation</p> <p>2. Leather - effects of radiation</p> <p>I. Banet, L.</p> <p>II. Bracciaventi, J.</p> <p>III. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project</p> <p>IV. NS 081-001 (AFSWP-392)</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>SECURITY INFORMATION</p>

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this investigation was to determine the contribution of the air-coupled vibrational energy to the overall energy emanating from an enclosed vibrating source. A vibration source suspended in a steel tank was used to simulate a noisy machine mounted within an enclosed chamber.

The vibration exciter or driver was suspended in the tank in three ways: by a solid steel connection, by a resilient mounting, and by a wire.

Recordings were made of the sound intensity outside the tank as the vibration exciter was driven over the frequency range of 60 to 7000 cycles per second (cps). To eliminate the air-coupled energy the tank was evacuated and recordings made.

It was found that: (1) The air-borne vibrations were too low in intensity to affect the decibel (db) readings caused by the structure-borne vibrations when the exciter was mounted solidly to the steel tank. (2) The resilient mounting greatly attenuated the structure-borne vibrations. Using the resilient connection, the air-borne vibrations had little effect on the structure-borne vibrations over a frequency range up to 2000 cps, but from 2000 to 7000 cps, the air-borne vibrations were predominant and raised the decibel level. This shows that air-borne vibrations are significant in the high frequency range. (3) Using the resilient mounting or the wire suspension connections the resilient mounting did not attenuate structure-borne